Exhibit 3

```
1
        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
        FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
            UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
4
5
               ---000---
6
7 PHILIP WONG and FREDERIC CHAUSSY, )
  individually, on behalf of others )
8 similarly situated, and on behalf)
  of the general public,
9
           Plaintiff(s), )
10
                     )CASE: 07-cv-2446 MMC
      vs.
11
  HSBC Mortgage Corporation (USA); )
12 HSBC Bank USA, N.A.; HSBC
  Holdings, Inc.; and DOES 1
13 through 50, inclusive,
                             )
            Defendant(s). )
14
                    )
15
16
17
              DEPOSITION OF
18
              JEFFREY NEEDHAM
19
20
               June 5, 2008
21
22
23 REPORTER: SHAARON M. SHIGIO, CSR # 12286 JOB 6911
24
25
```

- 1 A. Well, I guess that the -- that particular
- 2 discussion with Phil Wong was raised, I believe,
- 3 because Philip had raised some concerns regarding that
- 4 situation. So the reason for the discussion was -- was
- 5 generated because of concerns raised by Philip at the
- 6 time.
- 7 I don't -- certainly, other loan officers have
- 8 on occasion not collected a fee, and we've had to get
- 9 reimbursed for that fee, the company has. I can't
- 10 remember any other specific discussions with others
- 11 loan officers. Again, the discussion relative to -- to
- 12 Philip was -- was motivated by Philip's concern with
- 13 that recollection or collection of that fee.
- 14 Q. How often does it occur with other loan
- 15 officers that you have to collect an application fee on
- 16 a failed loan transaction from their paycheck?
- 17 MR. TICHY: Again, it's really been asked and
- 18 answered.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Again, you'd have to tell me
- 20 over what time frame. In general, it doesn't happen
- 21 that often.
- 22 MR. SCHWARTZ: Q. Is this something that
- 23 happens, you know, once a month with one of your loan
- 24 officers? Once every two months with one loan officer
- 25 per pay period? What's your best estimate of how often

- 1 this occurs, that deductions are being paid against
- loan officer's wages for these application fees?

Case 3:07-cv-02446-MMC

- 3 MR. TICHY: Again, the best evidence is going
- 4 to be the pay records, and if you can answer it, please
- 5 do.
- 6 THE WITNESS: It's difficult for me to give a,
- 7 you know -- over the length of time I've been with the
- 8 company and the length of time I've been managing the
- 9 retail sales force out here, again, any individual loan
- 10 officer they control that fee collection with that
- 11 individual transaction. They make a choice to collect
- 12 the fee from the consumer or not.
- 13 In some ways, that's how they can control, you
- 14 know, the pricing of that transaction or the fees paid
- 15 by that consumer. If they make that personal choice
- 16 not to collect a fee, it's understood, it's spelled out
- 17 in the plan, certainly, the -- my expectations of them
- 18 is that the fees are collected on each and every
- 19 transaction, because we're incurring fees on each and
- 20 every transaction.
- 21 How often that occurs, there could be two
- 22 loans in one month or no loans for four months, and
- 23 then three loans in the next month. It's -- it's -- it
- 24 can vary.
- 25 MR. TICHY: You about finished?

- 1 MR. SCHWARTZ: What's that? No, I'm not. I'm
- 2 not about finished, but I will be done as soon as I can
- 3 be done here.
- 4 Q. The -- your 2007 draw policy was not published
- 5 until March of that year, but it was made effective
- 6 January 2007; is that right?
- 7 MR. TICHY: If you know. If you don't recall,
- 8 that's okay too.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I don't recall exactly those
- 10 time frames. I do recall, generally speaking, that
- 11 there was some delay in comp plans, and certainly the
- 12 draw policy is part of that -- you know, the
- 13 distribution of that plan, so I don't recall the exact
- 14 time frame.
- 15 MR. SCHWARTZ: Q. As of I'll show you
- 16 another document. What are we up to? Exhibit 10 to
- 17 the deposition, NKA 1910.
- 18 [Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit 10, an
- 19 E-mail dated 2/23/2007, was marked for
- 20 identification.]
- 21 MR. TICHY: Excuse me for just a second.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 23 (Off the record discussion.)
- 24 MR. SCHWARTZ: Q. Mr. Needham, as of --
- 25 looking at this exhibit, as of February 23rd, 2007, you

CEDTIE	10 V TE	\sim r	REPORTER
LERIE	HLATE.	Ur.	REPURIER

2

1

- 3 I, SHAARON M. SHIGIO, a Certified Shorthand
- 4 Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
- 5 foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the
- 6 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the
- 7 within-entitled cause;
- 8 That said deposition was taken down in shorthand
- 9 by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place
- 10 therein stated, and that the testimony of the said
- 11 witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by
- 12 computer, under my direction and supervision;
- 13 That before completion of the deposition, review
- 14 of the transcript {X} was { } was not requested. If
- 15 requested, any changes made by the deponent (and
- 16 provided to the reporter) during that period allowed
- 17 are appended hereto.
- 18 I further certify that I am not of counsel or
- 19 attorney for either or any of the parties to the said
- 20 deposition, nor in any way interested in the events of
- 21 this cause, and that I am not related to any of the
- 22 parties thereto.
- 23 DATED:

24